APPEAL NO. 023226 FILED FEBRUARY 11, 2003

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on December 10, 2002. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issues by deciding that the appellant (claimant) did not sustain a compensable injury on ______; that he did not have disability; and that if the claimant had sustained an injury in the course and scope of his employment, the respondent (carrier) would be relieved of liability under Section 409.002 because of the claimant's failure to timely report his alleged injury to his employer pursuant to Section 409.001. In his appeal, the claimant essentially argues that those determinations are against the great weight of the evidence. The appeal file did not contain a response to the claimant's appeal from the carrier.

DECISION

Affirmed.

The claimant had the burden to prove that he sustained a compensable injury as defined by Section 401.011(10). Conflicting evidence was presented on that issue. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a). As the finder of fact, the hearing officer resolves the conflicts in the evidence and determines what facts have been established. The hearing officer found that the claimant did not injure his left knee or any other body part during the course and scope of his employment on ______. The hearing officer specifically stated that she did not find the claimant's testimony credible. The hearing officer's determination that the claimant did not sustain a compensable injury is supported by sufficient evidence and is not so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Thus, no sound basis exists for us to disturb the injury determination on appeal. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175 (Tex. 1986).

Given our affirmance of the determination that the claimant did not sustain a compensable injury, we likewise affirm the determination that he did not have disability. By definition, the existence of a compensable injury is a prerequisite to a finding of disability. Section 401.011(16).

Section 409.001 requires that an employee notify the employer of an injury by the 30th day after the injury occurs. Failure to do so, absent a showing of good cause or actual knowledge of the injury by the employer, relieves the carrier of liability for the payment of benefits for the injury. Section 409.002. Whether, and, if so, when, notice is given is a question of fact for the hearing officer to determine. Conflicting evidence was presented on this issue. The hearing officer determined that the claimant did not provide timely notice of any injury to the employer and that no good cause for the failure to do so was shown. Those findings are supported by the evidence and are not so

contrary to the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to compel their reversal on appeal. Cain, supra.

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY OF CONNECTICUT** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

C T CORPORATION 350 NORTH ST. PAUL STREET DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.

	Elaine M. Chaney Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Daniel R. Barry Appeals Judge	
Thomas A. Knapp Appeals Judge	